

Randy Chapel
Navient Account # 9106472688

September 5, 2015

Navient Solutions ATTN: Correspondence P O Box 9500 Wilkes-Barre, PA 18773-9500	Arne Duncan 7014 1820 0001 9493 7293 U.S. Department of Education 400 Maryland Avenue, SW Washington, D.C. 20202
President Obama The White House 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20500	Attorney General Loretta Lynch U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530-0001
Hon. Senator Lamar Alexander 455 Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510	Hon. Senator Patty Murray 154 Russell Office Building Washington, DC 20510
Margaret Spellings PO Box 600610 Dallas, TX 75360	cc: Western Seminary et al, FBI/James Comey, Leslie Caldwell, Sally Yates, Valerie Jarrett, and many others
Susan Allister	

To whom it may concern:

In 2007, I took out loans for a Ph.D. The foundation for entry into that program was a M.Div. that can be shown to be fraud. Long ago I returned the degree to the school stating such.

- Point 1: On October 7, 2011 the U.S. Department of Education swore under oath that it did not have the documentation to support its various conclusions or claims made in 2008 during the Spellings tenure as U.S. Secretary of Education and during the genesis of the cover-up by the Department under her leadership. In the most hurtful and powerful way, this also lined up with the conclusions made by the Department's Inspector General Audit of the AAEU in 2003.¹
- Point 2: By virtue of the United States own admission under oath, the Nancy C. Reagan letters: a) August 29, 2008 to Daniel Aleshire and made on behalf of the United States of America; 2) the Nancy C. Regan letter dated August 29, 2008 to Sandra Elman, and made on behalf of the United States of America; 3) Nancy C. Regan letter dated September 29, 2008 to John Hannon, and made on behalf of the United States of America; 4) Cheryl Oldham letter dated October 24, 2008 to Congresswoman (now Senator) Mazie Hirono and made on behalf of the United States of America and the May 8, 2008 noncompliance letters authored by Diane Auer Jones made on behalf of the United States of America was based on known misrepresentations, falsehoods and have no evidence to back them up.
- Point 3: This is further backed up by the two independent emails from investigator Chuck Mula to Randy Chapel and Kevin Ford concerning non-compliances of ATS and NWCCU regarding 34 C.F.R. Part 602 and due directly to my complaints. Non-compliance of NWCCU and ATS regarding 34 C.F.R 602.22 was covered up.
- Point 4: In January 2011, current Secretary of Education Arne Duncan was confronted that Western Seminary had lied, backdated and falsified documents during an investigation in 2005. Federal funds are linked to compliance with Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Compliance includes not retaliating because someone filed a complaint. The school did not have a program, policies, documentation or anything, including a coordinator as required by law. They lied about the whole thing during the OCR investigation. It would not be until Dec 2010 due to FOIAs that I filed that I would have documentation from both sides (OCR and Western Seminary) that showed they had lied, backdated and falsified documents. (CA Penal Code 134, 18 U.S. Code 1001) felonies. Most of all, just as I said, they had in

¹ <http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oig/auditreports/a09c0014.pdf>

fact retaliated against me in 2001. (*Barker v. Riverside County Office of Education*, No. 07-56313 (9th Cir. Oct. 23, 2009) "The remedies, procedures, and rights set forth in Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 . . . shall be available to any person aggrieved by any act or failure to act by any recipient of Federal assistance" that panel rejected the suggestion that a person under the statute must be disabled to have standing to raise its protections: "Section 504 and its anti-retaliation provision use the all inclusive phrases 'any person aggrieved' and 'any individual,' and no language further limits who 'any person aggrieved' or 'any individual' may be. In particular, the statutes do not include language requiring such individuals to have disabilities in order to have standing.") The settlement agreement clearly indicates no complaints are to be filed involving Matt ¶ 14, or disclosing anything by Carol and me. Western Seminary demands everything to be covered up and threaten both my mother and myself if we said anything, we would have to pay tens of thousands of dollars to Western Seminary, Gary Tuck, Steve Korch and Lynn Ruark. This is a requirement in order to receive education, education from third parties & retrieve previously earned/graded education. Arne Duncan and OCR knew about the fraud in Jan 2011 and did nothing. Susan divorced me on February 1, 2011, using the ongoing failures of the government.

Point 7: In the State of California, a person under the age of 18 is considered a child. Claiming that the child consented is not a defense. This is clearly noted in the Criminal Jury Instructions written by the Judicial Council of California. In both No. 1102. Sexual Penetration With Person Under 18 (Penal Code Section 289(h)) (pp. 818-820) and No. 1122. Annoying or Molesting a Child (Penal Code Section 647.6(a)-(c)) (pp. 840-843) *People v. Kemp* (1934) 139 Cal.App. 48, 51 [34 P.2d 502] is referenced if a defense claims that the other person may have consented. In *Kemp*, the defendant was convicted of rape and incest on his niece. Four counts were charged as rape and four counts as incest. At the time the niece was sixteen for two of the occasions and seventeen for the other two. In particular, the niece gave birth to a child, which died a couple of months after birth. During the time the niece was living with the defendant (March, 1932 - December, 1932 and June, 1933 - October, 1933) the niece and uncle had repeated acts of sexual intercourse. Nothing they did can be considered consensual under the law.

According to instruction notes for No. 1102, "Except as provided in Section 288, any person who participates in an act of sexual penetration with another person who is under 18 years of age shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison or in a county jail for a period of not more than one year." Penal Code Section 289(h))." The instruction notes go on to define various possible claims and defenses, such as:

1. Foreign Object, Substance, Instrument, or Device Defined. Pen. Code, § 289(k)(2); *People v. Wilcox* (1986) 177 Cal.App.3d 715, 717 [223 Cal.Rptr. 170] [a finger is a "foreign object"].
2. Sexual Penetration Defined. Pen. Code, § 289(k)(1); see *People v. Quintana* (2001) 89 Cal.App.4th 1362, 1371 [108 Cal.Rptr.2d 235] [penetration of genital opening refers to penetration of labia majora, not the vagina].
3. Unknown Object Defined. Pen. Code, § 289(k)(3). "Unknown object" shall include any foreign object, substance, instrument, or device, or any part of the body, including a penis, when it is not known whether penetration was by a penis or by a foreign object, substance, instrument, or device, or by any other part of the body."
4. Sexual Abuse Defined. *People v. White* (1986) 179 Cal.App.3d 193, 205-206 [224 Cal.Rptr. 467].

In the case of instruction notes for No. 1122, "(a) (1) Every person who annoys or molests any child under 18 years of age shall be punished by a fine not exceeding five thousand dollars (\$5,000), by imprisonment in a county jail not exceeding one year, or by both the fine and imprisonment. (a)(2) Every person who, motivated by an unnatural or abnormal sexual interest in children, engages in conduct with an adult whom he or she believes to be a child under 18 years of age, which conduct, if directed toward a child under 18 years of age, would be a violation of this section, shall be punished by a fine not exceeding five thousand dollars (\$5,000), by imprisonment in a county jail for up to one year, or by both that fine and imprisonment. (b) Every person who violates this section after having entered, without consent, an inhabited dwelling house, or trailer coach as defined in Section 635 of the Vehicle Code, or the inhabited portion of any other building, shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison, or in a

county jail not exceeding one year, and by a fine not exceeding five thousand dollars (\$5,000). (c) (1) Every person who violates this section shall be punished upon the second and each subsequent conviction by imprisonment in the state prison. (c)(2) Every person who violates this section after a previous felony conviction under Section 261, 264.1, 269, 285, 286, 288a, 288.5, or 289, any of which involved a minor under 16 years of age, or a previous felony conviction under this section, a conviction under Section 288, or a felony conviction under Section 311.4 involving a minor under 14 years of age shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison for two, four, or six years.” Just as was the case in No. 1102, the instruction notes go on to define various possible claims and defenses, such as:

1. Child annoyance or molestation may be committed by either a single act or a repetitive course of conduct.
2. Sixteen year old cannot consent. See *People v. Kemp* (1934) 139 Cal.App. 48, 51 [34 P.2d 502]. See also *People v. Cardenas* (1994) 21 Cal.App.4th 927, 937, fn. 7 [26 Cal.Rptr.2d 567] [dicta, in context of lewd act].
3. “Annoy” and “molest” are synonymous and generally refer to conduct designed to disturb, irritate, offend, injure, or at least tend to injure, another person. (*People v. Lopez* (1998) 19 Cal.4th 282, 289 [79 Cal.Rptr.2d 195, 965 P.2d 713]; *People v. Carskaddon* (1957) 49 Cal.2d 423, 426 [318 P.2d 4].) “Annoy means to disturb or irritate, especially by continued or repeated acts . . . [¶] ‘[M]olest’ [means] . . . ‘to interfere with or meddle with unwarrantably so as to injure or disturb.’” (*People v. Pallares* (1952) 112 Cal.App.2d Supp. 895, 901 [246 P.2d 173].) A photographer can “annoy” a minor by taking the minor’s photograph in a public place in an offensive and irritating manner. (See *Ecker v. Raging Waters Group, Inc.* (2001) 87 Cal.App.4th 1320, 1325 [105 Cal.Rptr.2d 320].) A lewd act is not required. (*People v. Thompson* (1988) 206 Cal.App.3d 459, 465–466 [253 Cal.Rptr. 564].)
4. Annoy and Molest Defined; Objective Standard. *People v. Lopez* (1998) 19 Cal.4th 282, 289–290 [79 Cal.Rptr.2d 195, 965 P.2d 713]; *People v. Kongs* (1994) 30 Cal.App.4th 1741, 1749–1750 [37 Cal.Rptr.2d 327]; *People v. Pallares* (1952) 112 Cal.App.2d Supp. 895, 901–902 [246 P.2d 173]. Penal Code 647.6 does not require any touching whatsoever. Words alone may constitute annoying or molesting a child.
5. Lewd Act Not Required. *People v. Thompson* (1988) 206 Cal.App.3d 459, 465–466 [253 Cal.Rptr. 564].
6. Need Not Actually Be Annoyed. *People v. Lopez* (1998) 19 Cal.4th 282, 290 [79 Cal.Rptr.2d 195, 965 P.2d 713].
7. Actual Touching Not Required. *People v. Memro* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 786, 871 [47 Cal.Rptr.2d 219, 905 P.2d 1305]; *People v. Lopez* (1998) 19 Cal.4th 282, 289 [79 Cal.Rptr.2d 195, 965 P.2d 713].

Steve Korch and his various Religious supporters in 1975 and since, have claimed that it was only one child or that he did not commit the acts again or whatever claim he can muddle through. Somehow making this better, but that is not what the law or the court says. In *People v. Shaw* (2009) 77 Cal.App.4th 92, 99 Cal.Rptr.3d 112 (“The statute does not merely protect children as a class; it protects “any child” in the State of California from being annoyed or molested by an adult motivated by an unnatural or abnormal sexual interest... To hold that a defendant might lawfully annoy or molest a child motivated by an abnormal sexual interest toward that child alone is not only ludicrous, but defeats the express statutory purpose of protecting any child in this state against sexual offenses.”). In fact, there is nothing in the statute or any case law that requires the defendant to have singled out any particular child (or group of children) in advance for his actions.

In other words, regardless if it was an one time act, several acts over several weeks which is the case, one child, more children that have not come forward; regardless if he penetrated Jane Doe with his penis, placed it next to her vagina (labia majora), thrust his fingers inside back and forth, simply used his fingers, mouth, touched her in any way or even just annoyed her, *he molested a child.* – AND THIS IS

NOTHING, AS YOU WILL SEE IN THE BOOK REGARDING THE LIAR STEVE KORCH. He was 25 years old, attending Biola, married, youth pastor and had been in the Army in Vietnam.

Point 8: Bert Downs publically confirmed Steve Korch's sexual misconduct in 2005. As a result, Steve Korch hired an attorney to deal with Western Seminary and with my mother and myself who knew because Jane Doe came forward. Steve Korch is a dangerous, sociopath with complete lack of conscience for damage he causes. Between Korch and Western, they came up with an under the table agreement for \$25,000 using the settlement to cover the payoff, among other things. I found out about this later when I demanded the mediator's records. This money came through the one of the attorney's trust account that all the money was placed. They laundered the money, so that there would be no trace, among other things. Gary Tuck, Steve Korch, Lynn Ruark, Bert Downs, Randal Roberts, Rob Wiggins, Andrew Adler, Ellen Hung (and her boss Raymond Gates), Linda McPharlin, Guide One Insurance, Tudor Insurance and Mediator Leonard Sprinkles directly knew or should have known about this. Subsequently, Brotherhood Mutual, Sam Philips, Mark Shem, Anthony Lauria, David Trent and Thomas Hadley defended and covered it up after March 14, 2006.

Point 9: Western Seminary retaliated in 2001 for questing the treatment of Matt Tuck, destroying and ending my education, ultimately my life and family. They used a crazy woman, claiming I was "God's will" for her life. She had a history of targeting men who were either in the ministry or somehow connected with ministry.

- a. She claimed that god had told her that Randy was going to marry her.
- b. She claimed that god called her to be a pastor's wife.
- c. She claimed and repeatedly made materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or representations (defamatory statements). Examples:
 - c1. Stated Randy is a sex pervert. She went to anyone she knew associated to Randy and made this statement.
 - c2. Stated that she was pregnant by Randy. She was forced to prove this defamatory statement and could not.
 - c3. Stated that Randy raped her. She made this statement to others and under oath. 8 years later, she then denied telling others this under oath. No one believed her and testified to this.
 - c4. Stated that Randy and her had sex, at every possible time when no one else would be there.

She targeted certain men, including via online dating sites, claiming she was called to be a pastor's wife, she delighted in men in or around some sort of ministry. As found out later, the first was her children's pastor in which she made all sorts of claims about. Her actions/involvements towards men, caused others to be concerned and disgusted, causing a request by her pastor/pastor's wife of the youth group to ask her not come anymore. Debbie was a complete nut case and was used by the school with child molester Steve Korch leading the way claiming of all things that if you have sex outside of marriage at Western Seminary you are immoral, need treatment, and will be kicked out of school. This, as we found due to litigating Western was untrue.

On October 18, 2005 Rev. Dr. David Eckman, PhD stated under oath:

22 Q. During the time period when you were
23 executive vice-president or dean of Western Seminary,
24 did you become aware that any student had had sexual
25 relations outside of marriage?

1 MR. ADLER: You can answer that question yes
2 or no.

3 THE DEPONENT: Yes.

4 BY MR. DRESSER:

5 Q. How many times?

6 MR. ADLER: You mean how many times the
7 student had sex or how many students are we talking
8 about?

9 THE DEPONENT: That's why I'm laughing.

10 BY MR. DRESSER:

11 Q. How many students did you become aware?
12 A. Probably several. As to the specifics, I
13 cannot recollect clearly.

One example of the many students having sex outside of marriage and graduating from Western Seminary is Pastor Rob Bryceson, M. Div. '93. Pastor Bryceson testified on April 15, 2005: 1) he attended Western Seminary; 2) he was involved in ministry at the time; 3) he met his future wife (Tanya) in December of 1991 and at some point they had sex in and around April/May of 1992; 4) WESTERN's administration knew about Bryceson's pregnant girlfriend (in and around October/November) when Dean David Eckman was involved; 5) there was a meeting that "was not a hugely meaningful meeting to me" as WESTERN "wasn't the kind of campus life where private and personal life interacted. It was a commuter school."

Pastor Rob Bryceson clearly indicated in his testimony "NO" to the following questions:

- "Did the school at any time require that you go through any counseling?"
- "Did the school ever tell you that they were going to suspend your education?"
- "Was your education with Western Seminary ever suspended by the school?"
- "Did anyone at the school ever tell you that you would not be qualified to graduate unless you went through some school program?"
- "Did the school request that you give to them any information about any counseling you went to, either church counseling or psychological counseling?"
- "Did you ever go through a student development committee or other disciplinary hearing?"

Pastor Rob Bryceson's shocking testimony caused there to be a hush in the deposition room as he was asked: "Were you allowed to continue with your education and graduate?" Pastor Rob Bryceson clearly testified: "*Yes. My daughter was born in December of '92. I graduated the following -- you know, six months later. I graduated on a Saturday, went to ceremonies. The very next day I married Tanya.*"

Pastor Bryceson has gone on to become a successful pastor, husband, father and songwriter. He lives in Spokane WA, with his wife and children. He runs The Gathering House A Covenant Church and Café. Service times at 10am on Sunday. He is also President of Streetwise. His ministry is about providing a safe environment to people, to ask questions and discover God in an accepting way... (read: cool, not hung up on church, accepting, loving, down to earth).

Western Seminary and the administration of the school do not want anyone to learn about Pastor Rob Bryceson, the testimony offered by the Rev. Dr. David Eckman, PhD or that the school habitually graduates students having sex outside of marriage with the knowledge of the administration. To admit to this, to let others know, destroys their false narrative claims against Randy and Carol to current and future students, their families, the court, the government, the Christian church and donors to the school. Others have come forward, but fear Western will destroy their lives and family if they testify. This is what Western Seminary does to its students, if you cross the school or administration.

I can go on (corruption with ATS, NWCCU, the OPE's AAEU, etc) and I do in over 550 pages and with thousands of pages of exhibits. (The "exception scam" or the various violations of 34 CFR Part 602. The modification of policies by NWCCU so that Western would not be held accountable, etc.) The book is being edited now and will be published as soon as possible. Give the recent outings of child molesters and the movie "Spotlight" coming out in Nov., people will be 1) Angry that Church/Christian leaders continue to do this; 2) Cover up what they have done with the help of the government; and 3) the oppression and threats towards people from saying anything.

The Obama Administration defends the use of Western Seminary's Settlement Agreement to cover up section 504 retaliation, 18 U.S. Code § 1001 and California Penal Code § 134 felonies involving government investigations, money laundering, accreditation collusion and racketeering, child molestations and a whole lot more at the direct detriment of Randy Chapel's family and life, because such support covers up the government's failures and corruption in these matters. Without the Obama Administration's direct support and outright defense against Randy and Carol, the Religious cannot do what they have done. What are the foundations of the Obama Administration claims?

- Sovereign. The Executive Branch *says so, so it is*. Essentially, because it is more important to cover for former and current employees of the U.S. Department of Education, who in turn were covering for the two accreditors and school, the Executive Branch says what they have done, regardless of the lack of evidence or legal merits is correct.
- 28 U.S. Code § 2680(h). The Executive Branch can act with malicious prosecution, abuse of process, libel, slander, misrepresentation, deceit as a means to destroy a person or family before the court and public, if the Executive Branch is so inclined and for the purposes to maintain and cover up corruption of the government. According to the Obama Administration, it can abuse power and obstruct justice at will, there is nothing an American citizen can do and surely no American can seek damages for such actions by the Executive Branch.

Imagine if you will, this was a murder case and the prosecution had no evidence supporting claims being made against you. In fact, the state wanted to put you to death and vigorously fought to do so anyway regardless of the evidence. How would you feel about this? What would go through your mind, day after day, as you fought to redeem your life back?

Anthony Ray Hinton was convicted of murdering two fast food restaurant managers in 1985. There were no fingerprints, and no eyewitness testimony linking Anthony to the killings. Indeed, time cards and other evidence showed that Anthony was working at his warehouse job at the time. In spite of this, the state's experts claimed at the time the .38 revolver recovered from his house, matched bullets at the crime scene. Anthony was convicted and sent to death row. Essentially, Anthony Ray Hinton was going to be put to death because, that is what the state wanted to do, regardless. Anthony Ray Hinton sat on death row for thirty years fighting the state of Alabama from *murdering* him.

After years of fighting to be set free and U.S. Supreme Court intervention, the "Alabama Department of Forensic Sciences concluded the bullets from the three robberies didn't match each other and could not be linked to the supposed murder weapon."² Anthony Ray Hinton, an innocent man who was sentenced to death at age 29, was released in April 2015. One half of his entire life wiped away, *for no just cause*. When he walked out a free man, he stated of those who perpetrated this against him: "When you think you are high and mighty and you are above the law, you don't have to answer to nobody,"³ he said outside the prison. "But I got news for them, everybody who played a part in sending me to death row, you will answer to God."⁴ It is easy to understand Anthony's frustration and outright anger with the state of Alabama for doing what they did to him. You don't have to be a man of color to understand his pain; you can see it in his eyes, his body language and in his facial expressions. The state took 30 years of Anthony's life and flushed it for no good reason.

Unlike the state of Alabama, Western Seminary et al., ATS et al., NWCCU et al., and the United States of America have *always known* that there was 1) no evidence and most importantly, no legal authority for Western Seminary et al., to do what it has done and continues to do; and 2) ATS and NWCCU were noncompliant and colluding with Western Seminary et al., as well as being noncompliant with 34 C.F.R. Part 602 as accreditors. In this case, in spite of admitting that there is no evidence to support conclusions used to enact the corruption and fraud against Randy and his family, the United States of America, the two accreditors and the school continue to insist that their actions be enforced. To understand how profoundly deviant the people Randy and his family are dealing with, had this been a murder case like Anthony Ray Hinton, the United States of America, the two accreditors and the school would still be seeking to kill Randy regardless of the fact they have no evidence of support for letters, investigations or claims. This is how screwed up this has become. It is more important to psychologically torture and emotionally water board Randy to the point of irreparable harm than to enforce the laws as they are written. This is a case of rule by law, where corporate and government interests must be protected at all costs.

What has Arne Duncan said about all of this? He has said that he was "stunned" and that it is a result of a "rotten" culture. That, "the answer here is very simple, you just have a culture of integrity and you have better security measures in place. But again what was so disappointing for me here was not an isolated individual or two, this was

² <https://www.themarshallproject.org/2015/04/09/30-years-on-death-row-a-conversation-with-anthony-ray-hinton>

³ <http://www.christiantoday.com/article/man.freed.from.death.row.after.30.years.to.prosecutors.you.will.answer.to.god/51539.htm>

⁴ Ibid.

clearly systemic; ... simply can't happen, that is absolutely inexcusable." This is not about what he has overseen or knows about this case. This is about the Atlanta Public Schools cheating scandal. About Superintendent Beverly Hall who was indicted and the GBI report said she "knew or should have known" what was going on.



Arne Duncan leads the nation as the Secretary of Education and has direct knowledge of the corruption and fraud at the government, accreditation and school level. Take this 16-year-old girl to the left – picked arbitrarily from the internet. According to the position defended by the Department, with the knowledge of Obama, you can retain previously earned, paid for and graded course work, as well as take additional course work leading towards two theological degrees if you cover up child molestation, *of a 16-year-old*. What should America think that the Obama Administration supports such with public money?

The Department admits there is absolutely no evidence to support such iniquitous position held by the Religious it supports. Yet, because it is more important to cover the nefarious behavior of Margaret Spellings and her staff, the Administration has fought to defend such depraved position against my family and me for years at all costs and to our detriment. (Margaret Spellings: you are going to burn in hell for all of this)

What do you think the 42 million American survivors of child sexual abuse are going to say when news of this case and what has happen goes viral? That this is how tax money is being spent – to screw someone up for life and destroy their family at all costs because he and his 75-year-old mother don't want to be apart of any of this.

1. My mother has been paying for my student loan with money from credit cards. I have told her to stop, she cannot continue like this. There will be no further payments. I don't care what happens to my credit.
2. Susan used the corruption of the Religious and the United States Government against me to force her divorce and abduct/retain Joel. She will never stop, she will never come back, she apparently doesn't care about the damage this has caused, and simply points out that the United States Government supports what is going on because as she put it --- "I lost."
3. Because of what Susan has done, I will never see Joel.
4. Western Seminary will never stop with its abuse, lies or acts to damage and destroy my life or family. They will get others to help them.
5. The government and most certainly, the Obama Administration WILL NEVER correct what it has done, supported or continues to do. NEVER. I will never have my 2nd amendment rights restored to me, the damages inflicted upon me paid for or be cleared of this crap. The Obama Administration went out of its way to destroy every aspect of my life, with the help of others, and it has now caused catastrophic damage.

I am going to publish the book, post and distribute all the materials with those helping me and then Western Seminary will have to deal with what they have done to my family and me. There is a cost associated with screwing people's lives over. Obstruction of justice and abuse of power, falsifying documents and making misrepresentations to the court and public was the only way the Obama Administration could "win" speaks volumes. This cost my family and life everything and I am sure that millions of Americans will be asking questions why such was funded with tax money.

Senator Alexander & Senator Murray – this is how corrupt accreditation, education and the Obama Administration really is. I was not put on this earth to pay for everyone doing whatever they want at the expense of my family or my own life. In spite of what Susan has done, there is no way that she deserved to be treated the way she has been and clearly no possible way for Joel, yet he has been used as a pawn by the feds.

No matter how hard the Religious and the political insiders have tried to prevent it, the public is going to find out what was done to my family and me. *United States v. Smith, 776 F.2d 1104, 1114 (3d Cir. 1985)* ("[T]he public has a substantial interest in the integrity or lack of integrity of those who serve them in public office.").

Randy