Dear Mr. Berger:

I have made no effort to contact you, Mr. Berger, for a reason. When the Judiciary Committee was reviewing the nomination of Loretta Lynch, Senator Hatch noted that Ms. Lynch should read *Licensed to Lie* by Sidney Powell. In her epilogue she writes: “The collateral damage from a wrongful prosecution is beyond measure. Marriages are shattered, children left parentless, careers ended, families devastated, finances ruined – all for what?” The Obama Administration has done everything possible to screw things up, while defending complete corruption and fraud. Amateurs do not run the Administration, as some have claimed; rather complete idiots run it.

1. The sham actions of the government and accreditors, not only made things worse, but acted to support corruption and fraud to the direct determent of my family and life.
   a. *Oregon Ry. & Nav. Co. v. Oregonian Ry. Co., Ltd.* 130 U.S. 1 (1889) “In the United States, a corporation can only have an existence under the express law of the state by which it is created, and can exercise no power or authority which is not granted to it by the charter under which it exists, or by some other legislative act.”
   i. Western Seminary was originally organized under Oregon law for schools. Likewise, when it expanded into California, it was under CA laws. It has been repeatedly shown that state and federal courts require explicit bequests of authority for any college to issue degrees or to do business in the state.
   ii. Religious exempt.
      1. Western Seminary is not a church.
      2. A religious group does not own it nor does Western Seminary ordain.
      3. Students pay to attend.
      4. The word “seminary” in its name is a misnomer and misrepresentation to the public. Not all course work is Religious and the school offers degrees, which are publically deemed as non-religious.
      5. Education and degrees earned at Western Seminary constitute a secular act, by a secular organization, performing a secular function under secular laws (ORS 348.594).
   iii. The U.S. Department of Education acknowledges the states’ primary authority in determining degree-granting authority. *Legally authorized:* The legal status granted to an institution through a charter, license, or other written document issued by the appropriate agency or official of the State in which the institution is located.

---

2 *New Jersey State Board of Higher Education v. Board of Directors of Shelton College*, 90 N.J. 470, 448 A.2d 988 (1982). It was really two cases decided 15 years apart, but the key case for degree authority is the 1982 case.
3 *Shelton College* at 998.
Many older schools were approved by charter (Harvard, Dartmouth, and William and Mary). Although no mention of the power to grant academic degrees is to be found in the Harvard College charter of 1650, the institution conferred nine bachelor of arts degrees as early as 1642. This was a bold action; it amounted to an unauthorized assumption of sovereign powers. ... Despite the cloud that hung over the legality of Harvard’s charter, the college was able to carry on without interference until 1684, when the colonial charter of Massachusetts was revoked. With that revocation even any appearance of legality for Harvard’s incorporation vanished too. ... Consequently Harvard operated without a charter till 1707. In that year the Massachusetts legislature, standing on the thin ice that the charter of 1650 had never been formally repealed or annulled, directed the college authorities to regulate themselves from time to time according to the provisions of the original document. 

The case on point regarding authorization is Nat’l Assn. of Certified Public Accountants v. United States, 292 F. 668, 53 App. D.C. 391. This case showed that simply opening a corporation for educational purposes did not establish that the corporation acquired degree-granting authority.

The Vermont Supreme Court case of Townshend v. Gray, 62 Vt. 373, 19 A. 635 (1890) resonances Oregon Ry. & Nav. Co., in that it concluded a corporation had no authority simply under the state’s corporation laws, unless the state legislature itself had expressed such power. As Townshend immaculately betrays:

No express power to confer degrees can be found in the Statute under which this medical college was organized, and hence the power to confer degrees must be classed as incidental to the general powers of a corporation formed for the purpose of maintaining a literary or scientific institution, if it exists at all. ...

The power to confer degrees, not being conferred explicitly by the statute ... clearly does not exist at all. ...

Every state in the union has chartered these institutions, and it is believed that none of them has ever supposed that, with all the widely enumerated powers delegated to them, it had the power to confer degrees of any kind unless such power was expressly conferred in its charter. ... Such has, manifestly, been the legislative idea respecting the necessity of special authority from the lawmaking power of the government touching the right to confer degrees.

To hold that the Legislature, by a general law, intended that any three men in any town of the State, however illiterate or irresponsible, might organize and flood the state with doctors of medicine, doctors of law, doctors of divinity, masters of arts, civil engineers and all the other various titles that everywhere in the civilized world have signified high attainments and special equipment for professional work, is to liken it to the witty French minister who threatened to create so many dukes that it would be no honor to be one, and a burning disgrace not to be one.

In addition, courts in Maryland (1838), Pennsylvania (1891) and Massachusetts (1914) essentially came to similar results.

b. For 119 years, it has been decided that corporations such as schools or corporations such as accreditors don’t have “magical powers” to supersede the U.S. Constitution, any Constitution of any of the 50 states, any federal or state law or decisional case just because a school or accreditor invent some “policy” to make exceptions to the law.

c. But in 2008, Margaret Spellings supports the complete nonsense claims of the Religious because it covers her Department’s on going failures. And then in 2009-current, Arne Duncan and the Obama Administration defends it. Margaret Spellings is morally bankrupt and a political hack. What possible excuse is Arne Duncan applying for what he has done?

---

4 The state authorization requirement is at 34 CFR 600.4(a)(1). These in turn are rooted in 20 U.S.C. 1001(a)(2), which says that an educational institution must be “legally authorized within each state to provide a program of education beyond secondary education.”

5 34 CFR 600.4, 600.5 and 600.6. See also Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 20 U.S.C. § 1232g; 34 CFR Part 99, etc.


7 Id. at 32.

8 This concept is not simply limited to the courts. The current Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education in CA also handles cases where someone opens a corporation and claims to have acquired degree-granting authority, without proper authorization by the state. For example, California Technology University was fined $50,000 for failing to seek approval to operate.

9 Townshend at 636-637. See also The Medical College of Philadelphia Case, 3 Wharton. 445 (1838).

d. Finally by October 7, 2011 and under oath\textsuperscript{11} Jill Siegelbaum, Attorney in the Office of General Counsel for the United States Department of Education, stated that “For items 28-33, 39, 50-51, 56-63 and 65-78, OPE had no responsive records and is unaware of any other location where such records might be located.” The United States of America never had any evidence at any time and clearly no legal support to support its various conclusions, claims, letters, etc.:

i. #28: The ATS “exception” policy in effect on March 14, 2006
ii. #32: The NWCCU “exception” policy in effect on March 14, 2006
iii. #58 The ATS “exception” standards or policies in which schools have a business practice of overriding the law as of 1999
iv. #59 All records between the Department and ATS concerning standards or procedures for exceptions since 1999
v. #29 The ATS 34 CFR § 602.22 policy in effect on March 14, 2006.
vi. #30 The ATS 34 CFR § 602.22 policy in effect on August 29, 2008.
vii. #31 The current ATS 34 CFR § 602.22 policy.
viii. #33 The NWCCU 34 CFR § 602.22 policy in effect on March 14, 2006.
ix. #34 The NWCCU 34 CFR § 602.22 policy in effect on August 29, 2008.
x. #35 The NWCCU 34 CFR § 602.22 policy.
xii. #56 The ATS standards or procedures that override Mary R. v. B. & R. Corp., 149 Cal. App. 3d 308 (1983) as of March 14, 2006
xiii. #57 The NWCCU standards or procedures that override Mary R. v. B. & R. Corp., 149 Cal. App. 3d 308 (1983) as of March 14, 2006
xiv. #61 All ATS standards or procedures that give ATS authority over March 14, 2006 settlement agreement involving Randy Chapel and Western Seminary
xv. #62 All ATS standards or procedures that give NWCCU authority over March 14, 2006 settlement agreement involving Randy Chapel and Western Seminary
xvi. #63 All Department records concerning ATS’ review of the March 14, 2006 settlement agreement involving Randy Chapel and Western Seminary.
xvii. #64 The settlement agreement involving Randy Chapel and Western Seminary that provides ATS has authority to make determinations regarding the settlement agreement or the validity of the settlement agreement.
xviii. #65 The settlement agreement involving Randy Chapel and Western Seminary that provides NWCCU has authority to make determinations regarding the settlement agreement or the validity of the settlement agreement.
xix. #67 Records of any ATS policies that gives schools authority to exceed or waive State law.
xx. #68 Records of any ATS policies that gives schools authority to exceed or waive decisional cases.
xxi. #69 Records of any ATS policies that gives schools authority to exceed or waive FERPA.
xxii. #70 Records of any ATS policies that gives schools authority to exceed or waive Federal law.
xxiii. #71 Records of any NWCCU policies that gives schools authority to exceed or waive State law.
xxiv. #73 Records of any NWCCU policies that gives schools authority to exceed or waive decisional cases.
xxv. #73 The NWCCU standards or procedures that give NWCCU authority over March 14, 2006 settlement agreement involving Randy Chapel and Western Seminary.
xxvi. #74 Records of any NWCCU policies that gives schools authority to exceed or waive Federal law.
xxvii. #75 Records that give Western Seminary authority to exceed or waive State law.
xxviii. #76 Records that give Western Seminary authority to exceed or waive decisional cases.
xxix. #77 Records that give Western Seminary authority to exceed or waive FERPA.
xxx. #78 Records that give Western Seminary authority to exceed or waive Federal law.

2. Western Seminary extorted me that if I did not accept their settlement, they would ensure I never received my education or degrees.
   a. This was noted by Andrew Alder and affirmed in writing by Leonard Sprinkles, Mediator.
   b. This was noted by Linda McPharlin to Leonard Sprinkles, Mediator and William Dresser in writing.
   c. Yes, I have both statements and they will be published like everything else.

\textsuperscript{11} “Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.”

\textsuperscript{12} This was an error in the numbering of the FOIA request.
3. Among many, many other things, Steve Korch and Western Seminary, et al, laundered money using the attorney’s trust account of Andrew Alder’s firm. Attorneys, insurance companies, administration at the school all knew about this and covered it up. Western Seminary assured both accreditors its/their actions and contract were legal, as Bert Downs and Randal Roberts convinced both accreditors to collude with the school to deal with the United States Department of Education and with me.

4. Western Seminary was actually in violation of Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and everyone has covered this up.
   b. The school produced false documents in the court case.
   c. The school backdated documents to a date prior to the signing of the Program Participation Agreement with the Department of Education so that it would appear to be compliant with the contract it signed in 2003. In fact it never has been.
      i. In 2003, it claimed it would agree to comply with Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) (20 U.S.C. § 1232g; 34 CFR Part 99). Bert Downs signed the contract with the government and the March 14, 2006 agreement, which provisions violate both these federal laws, among other things.
      ii. The current Program Participation Agreement was signed on April 1, 2013 claiming that within a 3 year period (that would be April 1, 2010), the school/principles have not “been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against them for commission of fraud or criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public or private agreement or transaction; violation of Federal or State antitrust statutes; commission of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements, tax evasion, receiving stolen property, making false claims, or obstruction of justice; or commission on any other offense indicating a lack of business integrity or business honesty that seriously and directly affects their present responsibility.”
         1. Arne Duncan and OCR knew about the Section 504 fraud in the first week of Jan 2011.
         2. The Award to the arbitration was made on May 10, 2010.
         3. The United States has and continues to protect and cover for the school, while flushing millions in federal money. Dealing with the corruption of Western Seminary has taken over a ¼ of my life, has destroyed my family and it has been and continues to be protected by the government under the claim 1) Sovereign and 2) 28 U.S. Code § 2680(h).

5. FBI

Both FBI Director James Comey and former FBI Director Robert Mueller were made aware of this case. The FBI reports to the U.S. Attorney General, and reports its findings to U.S. Attorneys across the country. You know, the same attorneys in the Department of Justice, doing everything they can to cover up what is going on.

Even if Comey & Mueller have complete revulsion or profound disapproval regarding the actions of the Religious, the two accreditors (ATS, NWCCU), former and current members within the Departments of Education, State and Justice, the three insurance companies and the attorneys involved (all referenced as Syndicate Members); even if Comey and Mueller wanted to take action, it is the attorneys and politicians that are in control and it is in the best interest of the Obama Administration and the United States of America, who are part of the syndicate that this whole case is covered up, regardless of the damage to my family and life.

It is to the best interest of all Syndicate Members to maintain their interconnected relationships and cover for each other, even if at times they don’t want to. By maintaining the syndicate, the public, courts and U.S. Congress are led to believe that there is no illegal activity and corruption involved. Once one party breaks down and spills what has happen, the syndicate network falls and the case falls apart.

The FBI is completely aware of this paradigm13 and this is not the first time members of the FBI were tested with such expansive corruption within an Administration.14 Regardless of what Comey thinks and even can prove from the evidence, he (FBI) reports to Loretta Lynch (who oversees Leslie Caldwell), while Lynch reports to Obama who takes input concerning this whole mess from Duncan. The governmental corruption and educational fraud will continue as long as Duncan, Obama and Lynch want it too.

Thus, even if there were members within the FBI willing to speak out, take action or leak insider information about the processing within the Obama Administration regarding this case, history has already shown what can happen. As long as the attorneys and politicians maintain threats and power upon/over those who know, and people accept to

---

13 An example of this can be found in the actions taken against former Senator Ted Stevens. See www.rollcall.com/news/recalling_the_injustice_done_to_sen_ted_stevens_commentary-237407-1.html. On October 28, 2008, Obama said that Stevens needed to resign to help “put an end to the corruption and influence-peddling in Washington.” By February 2009, FBI agent Chad Joy filed a whistleblower affidavit, alleging that prosecutors and FBI agents conspired to withhold and conceal evidence that could have resulted in a verdict of “not guilty.” On behalf of U.S. Attorney General Eric Holder, Paul O’Brien submitted a “Motion of The United States To Set Aside The Verdict And Dismiss The Indictment With Prejudice” in connection with case No. 08-231 on April 1, 2009. On March 15, 2012, Stevens’ widow said, “I can say that the Stevens family continues to be shocked by the depth and breadth of the government’s misconduct.”

14 Watergate.
live in fear of those threats or chose to say nothing to maintain their jobs, attorneys and politicians will be able to drive this case into the ground and bury it for all time from the public, courts and most of all the U.S. Congress.

6. Obama, and others in the Administration

Barack Obama and others have known about this case for years (www.scribd.com/doc/274197858/Cover-to-Obama-Draft). Repeatedly, we asked for help, we pleaded to not be abused. We were ignored. There is no reason to believe this will change. Administration had chances to correct or intervene to avoid further damage. It didn’t, refused and this continues.

7. Joel Chapel

a. Susan Allister used the corruption and fraud to her advantage to force the divorce and retain Joel. Her statements have been viewed as deliberately hurtful and spiteful.

“The Respondent who is an American citizen has devoted a large proportion of his time and energies conducting protracted litigation in the USA throughout the duration of the marriage. The legal action was initially against a religious seminary he joined as a student in the 1990’s and was expanded to including other parties, culminating in a final judgment against him in May 2010. The Respondent’s obsessive absorption in this litigation and its morbid effect upon him has alienated the Respondent from the Petitioner and the parties’ young child. The Respondent has been hindered from progressing his career or obtaining gainful employment due to the demands of the court cases he has pursued. The Respondent has also run up very high debts in court costs since 2002. This has prevented the Petitioner from obtaining a visa for residency in the USA with their son Joel, which has had a negative impact on the parties’ relationship and the Respondent’s relationship with Joel. The Respondent has not been to the U.K. and has therefore not seen either the Petitioner or Joel, since the beginning of May 2010.”

b. Susan and the Allisters acts have not only caused more problems and damage, but will continue to cause problems.

Joel will never know a day that the corruption and fraud of the Religious and the Government will not cast a long dark shadow upon his life. The damage to Joel will be life-long as a result (from the perspective of abduction and parental alienation). Joel will pay the highest price of everyone involved.

According to the California child abduction task force: Time does not heal the wounds when the family remains in a state of limbo and left with uncertainty of what has happened to their child. Time unfortunately provides additional triggers, reminders and pain: the child's birthday, the anniversary of the child’s disappearance and the holidays. Families of abducted children experience serious emotional distress.

Damage by parental child abduction and the intentional alienation of the parent is distinctly harmful to the child who loses half of his identity and half of his culture in the process. “Parental child stealing--the abduction or unlawful retention of a child by a parent--has since the mid-1970s gone from being a virtually unrecognized problem to an issue of serious national and international concern…. Child stealing, from the point of view of the child, is child abuse. In child stealing, the children are used as both objects and weapons in the struggle between the parents which leads to the brutalization of the children psychologically, specifically destroying their sense of trust in the world around them.” (Faulkner, Nancy. Parental Child Abduction is Child Abuse [1999]. Presented to United Nations Convention on Child Rights, June 9, 1999.) “The loss [to a child of the relationship with a parent] cannot be undone. Childhood cannot be recaptured. Gone forever is that sense of history, intimacy, lost input of values and morals, self-awareness through knowing one's beginnings, love, contact with extended family, and much more. Virtually no child possesses the ability to protect him- or herself against such an undignified and total loss.” (Clawar, S. S., & Rivlin, B. V., Children held hostage: Dealing with programmed and brainwashed children. ABA Section of Family Law, ISBN No. 0-89707-628-1, p. 105.)

Children deprived of a parent may, as a result, suffer loss, guilt, confusion, fear, powerlessness, identity crisis, anger, withdrawal, anxiety, a retreat into a fantasy world, hopelessness, inadequacy, fears, phobias, depression, suicidal ideation, sleeping and eating disorders, academic problems, withdrawal from one or both parents, drug abuse, peer group problems, obsessive-compulsive behavior, motor tension (tics, fidgeting or restlessness), psychosomatic disorders, damaged sexual identity and other problems. By inculcating a message that children are not permitted to love both parents, alienating parents make children feel anxious each time “they wish to express love to the target parent. They might feel anxiety over the smallest gesture, such as making a Father’s Day card in school but not being able to present it to the [other] parent” at p.113.

c. My own birth father left my mother, two sisters and me when I was young. It was a very selfish, self-centered, self-absorbed act that impacted my life, my sisters and mom. I have never forgotten this. My own birth father was more concerned to find himself and “be happy” regardless of the impact on others. He quickly remarried and adopted a son – what some people have unkindly noted to me is his replacement for a son. I have no relationship with my dad. In contrast, Susan (and the Allisters) leveraged the corruption and fraud to her /their advantage in a very selfish, self-centered, self-absorbed act that impacted not simply me, my mom or other family members, but
will impact Joel for the rest of his life. What Susan and the Allisters have done and continue is seriously messed up and has left many serious questioning a lot of things.  
   i. Joel will never be my son.
   ii. Susan and the Allisters will never stop. Never. Their place in society, their pride, etc., whatever, they will never stop.
   iii. Joel will be an Allister, McHugh or whatever.
   iv. I am not interested in having a bullshit relationship with my own son dependent on dysfunctional people doing seriously messed up things.
   v. None of this should have happen, if the government had enforced the law in the first place and not acted to cover everything up.
   vi. This should have been dealt with in 2012, when I finally had the paperwork and we made our requests.
   vii. We asked in 2015 for a welfare check and extradition, given what Susan had done in 2014. We were ignored by the feds.
   viii. We are tired of being screwed over by the government. I have personally reached my limit.
   d. Administration continues, supports and defends corruption, denies damage it has caused.
      i. Denied FTCA claim for Joel.
      ii. Claims it can do what it has done because it is Sovereign and because of 28 U.S. Code § 2680(h).
      iii. The government completely destroyed my family by its actions.
   e. No reason for Joel to return to U.S. There is no reason to believe things will change. No good parent would ever want their child to be in a position that an abusive government can damage the child.
      i. Obama Administration is keenly aware of lack of evidence and legal position. Yet, it continues to enforce letters, claims and positions anyway.
      ii. Susan and the Allisters have made their various life choices over and against the impact those choices have on the lives of others, including Joel. It bothers many people as to what has happen and continued. Allisters don’t care. Susan will never come back. Rather, just as the Allister banded together to get this far, they will do it again. They already acted to break a marriage up and destroy the relationship between Joel and myself, they will do it again and use some other excuse.
      iii. It is not safe here in America for Joel. What the government has done betrays a level of abuse that has no end.
   f. No reason for Joel to remain a U.S. Citizen.
      i. There is no reason to maintain Joel’s American citizenship after what has happen. It is outrageous for Joel to pledge allegiance to a flag of the American government, given what the government has done.
      ii. By remaining an American, any money Joel makes anywhere in the world, can be taxed by the American government. Joel is nothing more than a tax base that the government will draw from.
      iii. There is somewhat little value added to an American citizenship compared to the UK. Joel should revoke his American citizenship as soon as he can.

8. This is now about justified retaliation

   a. “The backward-looking approach looks to what has already happened: it justifies retaliation purely in terms of the justice of meting out punishment to one who has deliberately caused harm to others. This rationale, which philosophers call deontological (from the Greek word for necessity), is often linked with the popularly expressed goals of retribution, revenge, vengeance, an eye for an eye. The idea is that one who does harm deserves to suffer, that punishment is just and even necessary to “right the wrong” and restore the moral balance. The terrorists, like other criminals, must be brought to justice; justice must be brought to the terrorist.”16

   b. “To describe this approach as backward-looking is not to criticize it. It is only to recognize that what justifies retribution is not any supposed good consequences, such as deterring similar acts in the future, but simply that the guilty party has done wrong and deserves to pay. From the point of view of retribution, it doesn’t matter if any further good comes of punishment; punishing the guilty is inherently right and just, and that’s all it needs to be.”17

   c. In general, the Government’s insertion in this case, and in particular the defense by the Obama Administration has:
      i. Created complete chaos.

---

15 From the chapter titled: Susan Allister: “I am in love with a man who shares my life’s priority of knowing God, who is as committed to serving me as I to serving him, and who loves, cares, and thinks deeply… I praise God for the whole thing. I never thought I’d meet anyone like him and, well, I haven’t, and I still don’t think I will. I have met him, and that’s enough!” - Susan Allister.

“'In a society that is cynical about marriage and views all the dry bones of failed marriages as an indictment against that institution, we believe that God still works through Christian relationships to demonstrate the vitality and importance Christ-centred faithfulness. In the face the cult of self-fulfillment, Christian marriages can demonstrate the value of that self-giving love that endures for better for worse, for richer for poorer, in sickness and in health until one is parted from the other in death. Not an easy path, one may even feel as thankless as Ezekiel preaching to a valley of dead bones. Many view marriage as a dry and outmoded institution. I do not. The God who revivified the desiccated remains in an arid valley, because of his covenant with Israel can do inestimably more with the life and love you have as you covenant and pledge yourselves to one another today.” - Paul Foster

http://ethics.sandiego.edu/Applied/Military/Articles/Lichtenberg_Retaliation.pdf

17 Ibid.
ii. Perverted the due course of justice against Western Seminary on two civil cases.

iii. The obstruction based on “Sovereignty” and 28 U.S. Code § 2680(h) intentionally blocks justice being done on Western Seminary.

d. Vigilantism

The obstruction of justice and abuse of power by the Obama Administration in particular and the government in general created a situation that could result in one or more acts of vigilantism upon Western Seminary et al.

This should surprise no one at this point, given what Western Seminary et al has done.

e. Because things stand as they do; because of the significant level of corruption and abuse, it is also reasonable that no one will blame me for insuring Western Seminary et al pays for what it has done.

i. Cases around the country have shown that the public is sympathetic towards a parent taking action against those who act to damage or destroy their family member(s).

5. Gary Plauche

ii. It doesn’t mean it is right under the law. Clearly illegal. Given the evidence and case history, Obama Administration officials, accreditors and representatives left at Western Seminary will be denounced as having escalating matters to this point. “Most people find the retributivist argument compelling: they think that it’s inherently wrong for people to get away with murder and that we must serve justice by giving people what they deserve.”18

iii. NRA and other groups will have a field day. Here is a case whereby the government in conjunction with others, destroyed a person/family to cover up their/its corruption and fraud.

1. A case whereby government beat the shit out of someone.
2. Striped of me my fatherhood, my husbandhood, my education, all my property, my health, my 2nd amendment rights and everything else we as American take for granted.
3. Psychologically tortured and emotionally water boarded me to the point of irreparable harm.
4. Civil Rights abuse

Mr. Berger, this has taken two days away from the reediting and revising my book, Government Corruption and Educational Fraud: The Toxic Legacy of the Obama Administration. The State Department needs to turn over documents requested. Neither my mom nor me will accept a piecemeal solution from the government. The government totally screwed things up to the point of complete chaos, causing serious damage.

Everyone has made his or her choices. I have no document rescinding the settlement agreement for fraud or the Award. I have no document rescinding the Nancy C. Reagan letters: a) August 29, 2008 to Daniel Aleshire and made on behalf of the United States of America; 2) the Nancy C. Regan letter dated August 29, 2008 to Sandra Elman, and made on behalf of the United States of America; or 3) Nancy C. Regan letter dated September 29, 2008 to John Hannon, and made on behalf of the United States of America (yes there are others). I have no document rescinding the FTCA defense claims by the United States of America or a document accepting responsibility by the United States of America to pay for damages, and clearly nothing from Western Seminary. I have no document rescinding the divorce, seeking reconciliation, or returning Joel to me. My rights have not been restored.

When I am done; when the book is published and distributed widely, Western Seminary et al is going to pay for what it did to my family – what it did to Susan and her family, to our son Joel, to Dale and Carol, extend family and to me. In spite of what Susan and the Allisters have done to me, there is no way in hell that she deserved to be put through this. However, she has caused a whole lot of problems by being selfish. Problems exist because the government is more interested to cover for itself, accreditors and the school.

You fucking, fucking government. My mom is in throbbing pain knowing she will never see Joel, never see justice and never see her son happy. She knew Susan made me happy, brought a joy in my life I hadn’t had and I deeply loved her. She knew and watched me be torn in shreds when Susan left, when Susan took Joel, when I learned Susan was off with someone else. She knew, because she also saw what my dad’s action did to me. You supported those piece of shit, ass hole Religious. They are the lowest scum and they are not even human. They don’t care about the damage they do to others, all they care about is appearing holy and making sure no one knows the truth about whom they are, or what they do. It is one thing to have done all of this to me – but you did this to my wife, to my love and to our son. There is hell to pay for screwing up someone’s life and family.

“Those who plant injustice will harvest disaster, and their reign of terror will come to an end.” Prov. 22:8 NLT

18 Ibid.
Justice has Operation Fast and Furious. State has Benghazi. Treasury has the IRS targeting controversy - "Giving Lois Lerner a free pass only reinforces the idea that government officials are above the law and that there is no consequence for wrongdoing" – Darrell Issa. What do you think the citizens of this country and Congress are going to do when the book and Western Seminary pays for what it has done?

Randal.