

Randy Chapel
Box 711419
Mountain View, HI 96771

May 13, 2013

7010 3090 0001 2801 6419
President Barack Obama
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20500

7010 1060 0001 6471 3421
Ms. Valerie Jarrett
Office of Public Engagement
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20500

Re: Outrageousness

Dear President Obama,

Today's media, reports you stated the following about the current government scandal involving the IRS:

"If in fact IRS personnel engaged in the kind of practices that have been reported on and were intentionally targeting conservative groups, then that's outrageous. And there's no place for it"

You and your office know who I am, and you know my family, not simply due to letters and lawsuits, but including the White House involvement in *Nye-Wilson v. Department of Education, Margaret Spellings and Does 1 - 20*. Your office has even performed searches from secured computers at the White House concerning the issues in this case. Even your administration knows who we are. Our letters and lawsuits have been received by Attorney General Eric Holder, Sec. of State Hillary Clinton, Sec. of Education Arne Duncan, and FBI Director Robert Mueller.

On January 21, 2009 you promised, "**Transparency and the Rule of Law will be the Touchstones of this Presidency,**" yet according to the government, it doesn't know how many hundreds of thousands of dollars it has spent to cover up government corruption and educational fraud from which my family and I sought relief. Instead of enforcing the law, the government has persisted in covering up and silencing everything to do with this case, while issuing either excuses or intentional misrepresentations. In court filings, your administration claims the government cannot be held responsible for making false and misleading statements with the intent to cover up government corruption and educational fraud directly motivated to protect various "insider people," while inflicting as much damage as possible on me and my family.

According to the position taken by your administration, accreditors in the U.S. can support and protect schools like the 422 schools collectively accredited by the Association of Theological Schools and Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities that operate in 39 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the sovereign country of Canada by allowing the following *outrageous* criteria for education that:

1. gags a student and/or family member from discussing or reporting child molestations (CA Penal Code Sections 647.6, 11165) like the ones by Steve Korch as a requirement to receive the benefits of prior or future course work and two master degrees;
2. alters and obstructs freedoms of speech and religion of a student and/or family member as a requirement to receive the benefits of prior and future course work and two master degrees;
3. gags a student whistleblower and/or family member from reporting to the government a school's intentional misrepresentations to the U.S. Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights regarding the school's noncompliance with Section 504 in 2001 and during a federal investigation (18 U.S.C. § 1001) -- when the school deceptively backdated documents during a federal investigation involving Matt Tuck, Gary Tuck, Bert Downs, Randal Roberts, Rob Wiggins and Lynn Ruark who falsely claimed Western had programs that did not exist (Ca Penal Code § 134) in order to retain Title IV funding that requires compliance with federal laws. Covering up the school's deception to the government was a requirement to receive the benefits of prior and future course work and two master degrees;

4. prevents a student and/or family member from filing or acting on complaints to the government as a requirement to receive the benefits of prior and future course work and two master degrees;
5. violates FERPA (20 U.S.C. § 1232g; 34 CFR Part 99) at will;
6. threatens a student and/or family member with great financial vengeance of up to \$400,000 liquidated damages for filing complaints to the government, for refusing to dismiss prior complaints to the government, and for making any statement about unlawfulness by the school or school employees as a requirement to receive the benefits of prior and future course work and two master degrees;
7. prohibits the student and/or family member from reporting tax fraud to the Internal Revenue Service or the California Franchise Tax Board by a nonprofit school and its administrators who contrived in an *unwritten* agreement for one of the school administrators (Steve Korch) to receive an under the table secret excess benefit of \$25,000 that was unreported from the nonprofit school's general fund of charitable donations, tuition fees, and Title IV funds in direct violation of the school's *Articles of Incorporation* without a school committee vote recording its approval as the IRS requires, for an educational program requirement to receive the benefits of prior and future course work and two master degrees;
8. accreditors are not required to operate their associations under 34 CFR Section 602; they can backdate policies and approvals, use “unwritten policies,” **make up on the spot** or create *ad hoc policies* with no oversight to avert enforcement of published accreditation policies upon a school, and to misrepresent or even destroy public policies to benefit their member schools in order for their schools to not be held accountable for educational and corporate fraud.

That is not all. Thousands of pages held by the government already note more evidence than I listed above.

While this seems to be the norm for two accreditors that represent 422 schools in 39 states, there are other peer accreditation groups that disagree with those **outrageous** educational standards, objectives, policies, procedures and accreditation decisions I’ve listed that the United States, ATS and NWCCU condoned for Western Seminary to harm me and my family. Those **outrageous** standards of educational fraud and *educational extortion* are now available for 259 other member schools contrary to 34 C.F.R. § 602.13, §602.16(a)(1)(ii), §602.17(a)(1)(3), §602.18(b), §602.22(a)(1), §602.22(b), and §602.23(a)(c)(d)(e) due to actions by your administration. This directly puts over 81,000 students and their families at risk from ATS-based schools alone due to their claimed “exception” scheme.

What student and family will be harmed next? How many students and their families must be harmed? How many students might commit suicide because of the **outrageous** acts that are condoned by your administration before schools and accreditors are held accountable for educational fraud and extortion like Western’s against my family and me? So far, no one at ATS, NWCCU or Western has been held accountable for what they have done but the damage to my family and me remains unchecked.

To underscore the **outrageous** conduct by the Department of Education (Arne Duncan) condoned and protected by attorneys under Eric Holder, if Penn State offered “education” to the Jerry Sandusky victims and required educational criteria that conceals Sandusky’s hideous acts as objectives and outcomes for course work and to receive the benefits of prior or future education, then your administration will condone it as acceptable because accreditors (in this case ATS and NWCCU who are colluding with their member school) said it is ok.

Your administration even went so far as to condone *noncompliant* decisions by ATS and NWCCU that harmed me, and ATS alleged the case decision in *Thomas M. Cooley Law School* empowers ATS so that even the court cannot review what ATS says/does. *Thomas M. Cooley Law School* actually states, “**Courts have made the policy decision to ensure that these organizations act in the public interest and do not abuse their power, but judicial review is limited to protecting the public interest.**” The attorneys for your administration and ATS *omitted and concealed* this from the court in the public statements and filings. Instead, your administration’s legal defense under Eric Holder sought to expand case law with ATS’ help to give accreditors total and complete unchecked power –

President Obama and Ms Jarrett

May 13, 2013

Page 3 of 6

basically, giving schools who operate and pay for accreditors the power to do anything, to anyone regardless if they are a student or not, at any time and any where, with no court intervention or review.

Today, the news reported members of Congress making statements given IRS' admission of targeting various conservative groups as "this is truly outrageous and it contributes to the profound distrust that the American people have in government" or "I don't care if you're a conservative or a liberal, a Democrat or a Republican – this should send a chill up your spine" and so on. Yet, this case is far, far worse.

Your administration set an **outrageous** precedent as a guide for all accreditors and their schools to follow over and against what the people and the courts have already decided, so that your administration could cover up government corruption and educational fraud. See *Mary R. v. B. & R. Corp.*, 149 Cal. App. 3d 308 (1983) (non-disclosure of child molestation is "a serious breach of professional conduct" and "serious criminal acts." *Id.* at 315 is contrary to public policy, including the covering up of all materials/discovery associated), **IRS Publication 15-A (2012)** page 12 (money paid under settlement agreements is treated as back pay regardless of litigation actions or settlement agreements). Also, *Barker v. Riverside County Office of Education*, No. 07-56313 (9th Cir. Oct. 23, 2009) ("The remedies, procedures, and rights set forth in Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 . . . shall be available to any person aggrieved by any act or failure to act by any recipient of Federal assistance . . .". that panel rejected the suggestion that a person under the statute must be disabled to have standing to raise its protections: "Section 504 and its anti-retaliation provision use the all inclusive phrases 'any person aggrieved' and 'any individual,' and no language further limits who 'any person aggrieved' or 'any individual' may be. In particular, the statutes do not include language requiring such individuals to have disabilities in order to have standing."). For starters:

- Steve Korch is a child molester. He does not deny kissing, using his fingers to "finger" in the vagina or using his penis on an underage girl, that this state for over 100 years has held cannot give her consent. To understand just how depraved this pastor is, he argued that what he did was ok and the Court fined him, the other defendants at Western Seminary, and their attorneys \$1925 for doing so. Yet, he continues to be involved with children, speaks at churches in spite of his ordaining church recommending he step down. The public and court already determined the public has a right to know what Steve Korch has done and all those who have covered for him, yet your administration with ATS have worked to cover this all up from the public, from the Church, from donors and students.
- Our nation's public policy calls for paying taxes, yet 259 schools can make "exceptions" to the Internal Revenue Code at will, so that their employees don't have to pay taxes and they don't have to report it.
- Section 504 protects not only the Americans with disabilities, but those who report on schools failing to follow the law. ATS rejected the anti-retaliation provision written by Congress and enforced by the Court. The Executive branch sided with ATS against conclusions by the Court concerning the law written by Congress concerning protecting the public interest, because the government, accreditors and the school want to rewrite history as to the events that actually transpired. I am not the only student or employee Western Seminary has done this to.

Not even my Governor and former CA Attorney General, Jerry Brown, agrees with the **outrageous** approval by your administration—see *People v. Corinthian Schools, Inc. Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC374999*, which cost Corinthian \$6.5 million to resolve because *Corinthian* was using agreements with students to shut them up regarding Corinthian's unlawful business practices, which impact the public, future employers, future students and their families.

Instead of your administration enforcing federal regulations that protect students/whistleblowers like me and my family, by using well known cases that protect students and their families and by working with the State of California to prevent predatory schools and their accreditors from willfully violating laws to harm people, your administration **psychologically tortured** and **emotionally water boarded** me to break me for not going along with the government corruption and educational fraud.

Your administration's Justice Department even worked with ATS and its counsel to not hold Western accountable for violating *published* accreditation standards and laws, by supporting Western's *unconscionable* actions that harmed me and my family. Even false claims were given to the local police in order to take away my 2nd

President Obama and Ms Jarrett

May 13, 2013

Page 4 of 6

amendment rights as a result of the **outrageous** and violent government intent to destroy me and my family at all costs. Assistant U.S. Attorney James A. Scharf finally broke me in 2012 after spending about ¼ of my life dealing with the corruptions and attacks and he emotionally abused me so badly that I could not even speak and I lost my will to live. Since 2009, your administration has worked non-stop to force me to either go along with the corruption and cover up, or suffer for refusing to join in. Your administration did not simply stop at abusing me, your administration went after my parents and even *used* my now ex-wife Susan and her family.

James A. Scharf portrayed me and my parents as victimizing the government for seeking government records through FOIA. My father Maj. Dale Wilson, Ph. D. (Ret) is a 100% disabled vet, and my mother is a retired school teacher in her 70's. According to Scharf's position for the government, we brought the government to its knees by overburdening them with our FOIA requests and five lawsuits over a span of four years seeking records through FOIA as we pursued justice. In contrast, the Judicial Watch filed 832 FOIA requests and 65 lawsuits (2009-2012) against the Obama administration. According to the calloused representation by James A. Scharf for Eric Holder against me and my family, I should just "move on," after your administration destroyed my life by not enforcing laws that protect students like me and my family and disregard us seeking records via FOIA.

It is no wonder why my now ex-wife, with the support of her family, divorced me and abducted our son to England – since it was more important for your administration to *demonize me at all cost*, instead of requiring corrections of the unlawful accreditation decisions that irreparably harmed me and my family. My now ex-wife used the fact that your administration has approved and supported ATS, NWCCU and Western Seminary against me to get a divorce and retain our son in England.

WHERE and HOW can I "move on" after your administration's **outrageous** conduct to destroy me and everyone around me?

This is what Eric Holder has written (The Crime of Family Abduction):

"Regardless of the abductor's motive, it is an illegal act that has lasting consequences for the abducted child, the custodial parent, and the abducting family member... The Department of Justice is committed to protecting children and families from harm."

This is what a person wrote concerning being abducted in that same publication:

When I was 10 years old, someone took me from the street in front of my home, drove me across the country, gave me a new name, made me lie about who I was and where I was from, and told me I would never go back to my old life or see the rest of my family again.

The strangest part of my story is that I did not realize while it was happening that I was being abducted. A great many people around me responded to the abduction by thinking that it was perfectly okay— thinking, in fact, that the person who took me and hid me for 2 years had a right to do so.

Because the person was my own mother.

—Liss,

former abducted child

According to your administration's own position: "Three characteristics distinguish family abduction from a typical custody battle between parents: concealment, intent to prevent contact, and flight." I turned to the U.S. Embassy in London for help and received little to nothing. In both the U.S. and the UK what Susan has done, with the help of her family is a crime. As noted to Justice and State, Susan has stated "**When he [Joel] is an adult I will leave it up to him about whether he wants to get back in touch with you [Randy]**" while Susan's father, the Bishop of Peterborough, totally supported Susan's unlawful and abusive controlling demands about Joel's relationship with me. (Bishop Allister stated, "**Susan is my daughter and I love and support her. She is also an adult, fully able to make her own decisions which I respect and accept.**")

As noted by Justice's own publication, "...family abduction has probable long-term psychological and social effects on the abducted child and these traumatic effects are not only on the abducted child and the searching parent but also

President Obama and Ms Jarrett
May 13, 2013
Page 5 of 6

on left-behind siblings, grandparents, extended family, and friends. Justice's own publication goes on to state: "The abducting family member conceals the abduction or whereabouts of the child. The abducting family member intends to prevent contact between the child and anyone involved with the searching parent for an indefinite period of time. And the abducting family member transports the child out of state or out of the country to make recovery more difficult." This is what Susan has done with the help of her parents – one of which is a Bishop in the Church of England and the other is a medical doctor who was involved at a national level in England regarding children's issues.

The U.S. Supreme Court has also weighed in on family abduction as found in *Abbott v. Abbott*, 560 U.S. ____ (2010) stating, "An abduction can have devastating consequences for a child. Some child psychologists believe that the trauma children suffer from these abductions is one of the worst forms of child abuse." H. R. Rep. No. 103-390, p. 2 (1993). "A child abducted by one parent is separated from the second parent and the child's support system. Studies have shown that separation by abduction can cause psychological problems ranging from depression and acute stress disorder to posttraumatic stress disorder and identity formation issues. See N. Faulkner, Parental Child Abduction is Child Abuse (1999), <http://www.prevent-abuse-now.com/unreport.htm> (as visited May 13, 2010, and available in Clerk of Court's case file). A child abducted at an early age can experience loss of community and stability, leading to loneliness, anger, and fear of abandonment. See Huntington, Parental Kidnapping: A New Form of Child Abuse (1982), in American Prosecutors Research Institute's National Center for Prosecution of Child Abuse, Parental Abduction Project, Investigation and Prosecution of Parental Abduction (1995) (App. A). Abductions may prevent the child from forming a relationship with the left behind parent, impairing the child's ability to mature. See Faulkner, *supra*, at 5." In the case of Abbott, the boy having been abducted never again had a relationship with his father.

I have nightmares about everything that has gone on, because as a left behind parent it is an emotional and trying time. I faced not only a divorce and abduction which are very high stressers, but also a government *demonizing me at all cost* while it *psychologically tortured* and *emotionally water boarded* me with the help of ATS. We also know now that Western Seminary was involved. Yet, according to the Justice's publication cited above, left behind parents should never be told:

"Sometimes you just have to let go." and "you should get on with your life."

Yet, while representing government, James A. Scharf along with the attorneys for ATS stated and argued I should just "move on." Basically,we screwed your life completely over, now move on and live with it.....

Because of your administration's actions to attack me, it has now legitimized the very action—the removal of Joel from my life and the lives of my extended family forever to the UK (a friendlier forum for deciding custodial disputes for the daughter of a Bishop, i.e., a Lord). Susan has used what the U.S. Government is condoning to get what she wants, while the U.S. Government (aka James A Scharf up to Eric Holder) used Susan [and her family] to *psychologically torture* and *emotionally water board* in 2012. Susan and your administration's needs [to cover up the governmental corruption and educational fraud] are interdependent, just like the accreditors ATS and NWCCU, Western Seminary and the government's relationships are interdependent because everyone has combined forces to focus their attack on me.

Your administration, and specifically, Eric Holder and Arne Duncan, could have at any time enforced government regulations against ATS, NWCCU, and Western Seminary, but they and their staff *outrageously* refused. Instead, your administration chose to cover up educational fraud by ATS, NWCCU, and Western Seminary that Margaret Spellings condoned in 2008 and fight me and my parents in a no holds barred fight with public money.

By not enforcing laws that protect students like me, your administration destroyed my life, and damaged people in my life who I love (my son Joel, my now ex-wife Susan Allister, my parents and extended family and the parents of my ex-wife – Donald Allister, Bishop of Peterborough, his wife Janice and their extended family). The Allisters are not even Americans, yet your administration used public money to damage all of our lives so that no one would find out about the *outrageous* government corruption and educational fraud under Spellings. Obviously, the Allisters don't want to be involved with anyone who the government has spent hundreds of thousands, if not a million dollars or so demonizing – because it must be true if the government will go to the length it has against Randy!

President Obama and Ms Jarrett

May 13, 2013

Page 6 of 6

What did we do—me, my family, Susan and her family—to deserve to be treated this way?

What did we do to justify your administration doing what it has done and continues to do?

What did my four-year-old son Joel do to deserve to be treated this way by his American government—for his entire life and future to be treated as “**acceptable collateral damage**” in order to cover up government corruption and educational fraud?

I have lost *everything* in my life: my house, my land, my education, my future, my family--everything and everyone dear to me, because your administration’s priority was to cover up government corruption and educational fraud by false and misleading statements, while psychologically torturing and emotionally water boarding me to the point of irreparable harm.

With all due respect Mr. President, when will this reach the *outrageous* level? Because I really would be interested to know.

Your administration’s handling of this case has completely destroyed my life, and significantly damaged the lives of others.

How many lives must be damaged by your administration’s acts to cover up government corruption and educational fraud?

Sincerely,

/s/

Randy Chapel

cc: Eric Holder, Arne Duncan, Robert Mueller, Melinda Haag, Robin C. Ashton, Laurie O. Robinson, Robert L. Listenbee, Catherine Connell McSherry and many others.

20130513_PresidentFinal.doc