

December 19, 2017

To: Program Legal Group, Office for Civil Rights

On December 18, 2017 the PLG-OCR stated, “*Anyone who believes that a recipient institution has engaged in sex discrimination may file a complaint with the Department’s Office for Civil Rights (OCR).*” [Emphasis mine]

1. **The 2005 complaint.** I noted among other things:
 - a. “I would like to file a retaliation complaint against this school.”
 - b. “I have been the subject of retaliation for blowing the whistle about inequity in grading and academic requirements awarded Matt Tuck, and other abuses by Western Seminary.”
 - c. I noted at the time various discriminatory issues by Western Seminary, including but not limited to “graduating other students who had actually had sex and produced children out of wedlock, which betrays their arbitrary and capricious exercise of authority against me on these trumped up allegations.”
2. **Obama Administration on OCR Complaint.** OCR failed to act on the retaliation/discrimination.
 - a. OCR’s own responses.
 - b. Declaration of Joanna Dailey in support of Defendant USA’s Motion to Dismiss in *Randy Chapel, et al., v. Commission on Accrediting of the Association of Theological Schools, et al.* ECF No. 17, ¶ 6-7.
 - c. Complaints to OCR must be timely filed within a 180-day period. It has now been 4589 days (12 years, 6 months, 22 days excluding the end date) since May 26, 2005 through to the date of this letter and 5740 days since April 2, 2002 (15 years, 8 months, 17 days excluding the end date). The failure to act in 2005 based on the same set of information and the failure of OCR to seek materials as I suggested has not changed - “*If my complaint is accepted, I can forward all materials outline here*” would be an affirmative defense by Western Seminary in any summary judgment motion. Thus, regardless of OCR did anything now it would likely be rendered moot by a court and meaningless if I was forced to take action in court against Western Seminary.
 - d. The damage has already been done. No new complaint will change things back the way they were.
 - e. It is a discretionary function of government to take administrative action and when it will accept a complaint.
3. **March 14, 2005 Settlement Agreement is a vehicle for fraud. ¶ 17 Authority** –Western Seminary, et al., “represents and warrants” that for Randy Chapel to retain his previously earned and paid for education, and any additional education leading to a Master of Divinity, which is also required for entry into a Master of Theology program and to receive a Th.M. Degree **the following requirements linked as criteria for education:**
 - a. Randy and Carol Nye-Wilson had to release Western Seminary, et al., -- including claims for discrimination, harassment, hostile environment, failure to prevent discrimination and/or harassment, ... ¶ 4 to prevent any future complaint to a government agency such as OCR. Western Seminary, et al. figured if Randy and Carol figured out in the future the educational fraud and government corruption involving Western Seminary, et al. and others, they were protected from any future claims for damages.
 - b. Randy and Carol had to waive Civil Code Section 1542 (¶ 6), because Western Seminary, et al. figured if Randy and Carol figured out in the future the educational fraud and government corruption involving Western Seminary, et al. and others, they would be covered from any future damages or claims.
 - c. ¶ 8 – 10 Western Seminary, et al. required Randy and Carol to say *only* Western's approved statements and say nothing about what they knew or would come to know about the educational fraud and government corruption involving Western Seminary, et al. and others, or use material evidence like transcripts or exhibits in the process.
 - d. ¶ 11a Randy had to submit to arbitration to keep others, including the government and public from learning or becoming aware of the educational fraud and government corruption involving Western Seminary, et al. and others. Western Seminary, et al. tried but failed to force Carol into arbitration. While Bert Downs claimed arbitration was part of Christianity, it really was a premeditated cover-up of educational fraud discovered by Randy and/or Carol.
 - e. ¶ 11b Randy and Carol had to submit to liquidated damages benefitting Western Seminary, et al. Each and every statement, posting and thing Randy and/or Carol places on the internet or communicates to the government, including this very letter, since March 15, 2006 is worth \$10,000 per defendant up to \$100,000 per defendant.

- f. ¶ 14-15 this is understood as Western Seminary's premeditated fraud intent to keep Randy and Carol from filing complaints that seek administrative action, such as a complaint to OCR for "sex discrimination," or helping government attorneys in a false claim act case against Western Seminary.
- g. In addition to all the above, Western Seminary, et al. also held Randy's education ransom unless Carol signed the agreement and gave up her First Amendment freedoms of religion and peaceful protest.
- h. Chuck Mula stated the following in an email on July 29, 2008 to Randy that the Department has

"concerns that the settlement agreement is requiring you to preform non academic task, that the Department considers questionable, in order to recive the benefiits of your educational program. We are presently trying to get a decision on the settlement agreement from legal staff, and to determine if we have legal authority to address the settlement agreement in our investagation. If we are given the authority to address it we will. However, right now we are restricted to addressing the substantive change issues only."

- 4. **Government's Authority to Act.** According to the Obama Administration's position in 2012 under oath:
 - a. Department has "Limited Authority" Evidence: Declaration of Joanna Dailey in support of Defendant USA's Motion to Dismiss in *Randy Chapel, et al., v. Commission on Accrediting of the Association of Theological Schools, et al.* ECF No. 17, ¶ 17-25.
 - b. Barred from "intercede in Chapel's dispute with Western." See *Randy Chapel*, ECF No. 17, ¶ 12. So what possible reason will a "new" complaint bring, if it can't intercede in the first place?
 - c. If OCR is "unable to secure an institution's voluntary compliance, it may initiate proceedings to suspend or terminate Federal financial assistance through an administrative hearing process or refer the matter to the United States Department of Justice. 34 C.F.R. § 100.8." See *Randy Chapel*, ECF No. 17, ¶ 12.
 - d. The Department did not secure Western Seminary's voluntary compliance as to the sex discrimination/retaliation complaint in 2005, because OCR took no action then and continues to take no action against Western Seminary as of the date of this letter in spite of their non-compliance.
 - e. If OCR could not take remedial action in 2005, any "new" complaint would be worthless. In spite of what it already knows, the Department has not secured Western Seminary's voluntary compliance to rescind the agreement designed to defraud the government (and Randy, and Carol), defraud the state of California, defraud future employers and educational intuitions, in violation of federal law. Even if it did, it would not change the damages that have now been caused.
- 5. **Government supports the enterprise using education as vehicle for fraud which blocks complaints to the government itself.** Settlement agreement has been supported and defended by the Departments of Justice and Education as valid because accreditors conspiring with the Western Seminary conspirators said so in 2008. Evidence:
 - a. Nancy C. Regan letter dated August 29, 2008 to Sandra Elman;
 - b. Nancy C. Reagan letter dated August 29, 2008 to Daniel Aleshire;
 - c. Nancy C. Regan letter dated September 29, 2008 to John Hannon;
 - d. October 24, 2008 letter from Cheryl Oldham to Mazie Hirono;
 - e. June 2, 2016 Victim Impact Statement
 - f. Carol's 35 page ATS-Western Seminary "exception scheme" and FOIA review.
 - g. *Randy Chapel, et al., v. Commission on Accrediting of the Association of Theological Schools, et al.*
 - h. Government in particular supports the religious' **overreach and abuse of power**, as ATS misconstrued *Thomas M. Cooley Law School v. American Bar Association in 2012* to claim accreditors and not the court are better at understanding accreditor education,¹ by misrepresenting what the court actually said. In fact,

¹ This standard of review resembles the review applied under the Act. See 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(A) ("arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, or otherwise not in accordance with the law"). We emphasize, however, that while principles of federal administrative law provide guidance in our analysis, judicial review of accreditation decisions is more limited than review under the Act. Although accrediting agencies perform a quasi-governmental function, they are still private organizations. Courts have made the policy decision to ensure that these organizations act in the public interest and do not abuse their power, but judicial review is limited to protecting the public interest. Recognizing that "the standards of accreditation are not guides for the layman but for professionals in the field of education," Wilfred Acad., 957 F.2d at 214 (quoting *Parsons College v. North Cent. Ass'n of Colleges & Secondary Sch.*, 271 F.Supp. 65, 73 (N.D.Ill.1967)), great deference should be afforded the substantive rules of these bodies and courts should focus on whether an accrediting agency such as the ABA followed a fair procedure in reaching its conclusions. We are not free to conduct a de novo review or substitute our judgment for that of the ABA or its Council. Rather, in analyzing whether the ABA abused its discretion or reached a decision that was arbitrary or unreasonable, we focus on whether the agency "conform[ed] its actions to fundamental principles of fairness." *Medical Institute of Minnesota*, 817 F.2d at 1314.

the court actually noted, “Courts have made the policy decision to ensure that these organizations act in the public interest and do not abuse their power, but judicial review is limited to protecting the public interest” *Randy Chapel*, ECF No. 20, ¶ 7.

- i. For ATS, covering up child molestation with education is not a public interest issue for the religious.
 - ii. For ATS, using education as a vehicle to commit fraud against the government is not abuse of power.
 - iii. For the Department, if an accreditor and school are colluding against the student, there is nothing wrong with that.
 - iv. For the Department, if an accreditor and school are colluding against the government, there is nothing wrong with that.
6. **No change.** Even if Western Seminary, et al. was to voluntarily end the Settlement Agreement due to an administrative action by OCR or some other office or DOJ so that Western Seminary would then be in compliance with the law, that doesn’t change anything. Joel Chapel, Susan Allister, Carol, Dale Wilson and Randy has suffered catastrophic and irreparable damage due to the acts by the government to support and defend the enterprise.
- a. Hundreds of thousands of dollars in legal fees are lost;
 - b. I was forcibly divorced over the time everything took and because “I lost”;
 - c. Even if I saw Joel today, it doesn’t give back all the time he and I lost;
 - d. Carol spent hundreds of thousands of dollars;
 - e. Dale was left for months on end to suffer without his wife helping him;
 - f. It doesn’t restore my marriage;
 - g. Doesn’t restore my education or health or reputation or house, land, cars, etc. and 2nd amendment rights.
7. **Routinely ignored.** The Department has been in receipt of repeated requests to fix or not cause further damage by Randy, Carol and their attorney and they were routinely ignored.
8. **False Claims.** The government wrongly supports and defends the enterprise against itself! 31 U.S. Code § 3730(a) “The Attorney General diligently shall investigate a violation under section 3729.”
- a. Congress is instructing the Executive Branch through the use of the imperative mood “shall” to do something. The “Attorney General diligently shall investigate.”
 - i. A command as compare to a discretionary act.
 - ii. The investigation is modified by the adverb “diligently” to stress the care or conscientiousness of the investigation.
 - b. Certain Department of Education employees are violating federal law by accepting an accreditor has no problem with education being used as vehicle for fraud against the government itself.
 - i. Accreditors are gatekeepers to federal funds.
 - ii. In spite of accreditors being gatekeepers to federal funds, this doesn’t delineate Department of Education employees from speaking up or correcting false positions of their accreditors, since it is government that is being defrauded.
 - iii. By certain Department of Education employees accepting an accreditor has no problem with education being used as vehicle for fraud against the government, the Department of Justice employees are unable to do their job to protect the interest of the government.
 - c. If accreditors say that their member school can force a student to accept as criteria for their education ¶8 Non-Disparagement/Non-Disclosure/Non-Interference, ¶9 Confidentiality, ¶10 Selected Speech, ¶11a Arbitration, ¶11b Liquidated Damages of up to \$400,000, ¶14 No- complaints; ¶15 No Cooperation with the government, then
 - i. Member schools can leverage their accreditor to defraud the government.
 - ii. Member schools can block investigations and witnesses coming forward (obstruction of justice) to the Attorney General about information concerning parties defrauding the government.
 - d. Since its inception in 2003, Western Seminary has been in violation of its Title IV contract (20 U.S. Code § 1094) with the government. That has come to over \$20 million at this point. Under the False Claims Act, if the government intervenes in the qui tam action, the relator is entitled to receive between 15 and 25 percent of the amount recovered from the school by the government through the qui tam action. If the government declines to intervene in the action, the relator’s share is increased to 25 to 30 percent. These varieties provide for a possible recovery range of \$3 million to \$6 million. By blocking Randy

- and Carol, the government is not only impacting Randy and Carol's bottom line it is also creating an unwanted chilling effect that will cause other third parties to consider not coming forward.
- e. If the government is going to do this, and given its history in this case, why file a new complaint if government is going to do whatever it takes to cover for the school and accreditor anyway?
9. **RICO.** OCR has limited authority to cover the complex white-collar criminal activities of Western Seminary. Randy and Carol understood this **unprecedented mess** needed to be forwarded to the Department of Justice, Criminal Division that handles RICO. Both Randy and Carol, due to their ongoing investigation work formulated their research into the Victim Impact Statement, which Randy made public on June 2, 2016.
- a. On June 2, 2016 Randy sent the Victim Impact Statement to and received by Barack Obama, Loretta Lynch, James Comey, John F. Bennett (FBI), and the Department of Justice attorney handling a concurrent FOIA lawsuit Michael Albanese.
- b. Since that time, the Department has not secured Western Seminary's voluntary compliance with the demands in the settlement agreement designed to defraud the government (and Randy, and Carol).
- c. The Department and most certainly the DOJ has had in its possession, custody and control information sufficient:
- i. To end Western Seminary's Title IV contract (20 U.S. Code § 1094) for material violations since its inception in 2003;
 - ii. To not renew and sign a fourth PPA in 2018 with Western Seminary, unless and until it performs various actions dictated by the government;
 - iii. To administratively cite both ATS and NWCCU for involvement with Western Seminary in a complex array of schemes against the government and during a federal investigation;
 - iv. To enter into a civil settlement agreement or a deferred prosecution agreement or a non-prosecution agreement or institute administrative actions involving any one or more of the following people or entities noted below (a-l):

a. Steve Korch	e. Rob Wiggins	i. Jeremiah McCarthy
b. Lynn Ruark	f. Bert Downs	j. Daniel Aleshire
c. Gary Tuck	g. Western Seminary	k. NWCCU
d. Randal Roberts	h. ATS	l. Sandra Elman
 - v. To debar or suspend one or more of the people or entities noted above (a-l) from programs and activities involving Federal financial and non-financial assistance and benefits in light of Executive Order 12549;
 - vi. To go so far as indict the people or entities noted above (a-l) who refuse to cooperate;
 - vii. To seek and obtain an admission of guilt by people or entities noted above (a-l);
 - viii. To administratively settle tort claims with Joel Chapel, Carol, Dale Wilson and myself;
 - ix. To settle False Claim Act claims which the government itself has blocked both Carol and myself from filing due to a) support and defense of the enterprise; b) refusal to rescind the Nancy C. Reagan letter dated August 29, 2008 to Sandra Elman; c) refusal to rescind the Nancy C. Reagan letter dated August 29, 2008 to Daniel Aleshire; d) refusal to rescind the Nancy C. Reagan letter dated September 29, 2008 to John Hannon; e) refusal to rescind the October 24, 2008 letter from Cheryl Oldham to Mazie Hirono; and
 - x. To return my 2nd amendment rights back to me.
10. **Damages.** The damages and losses were foreseeable and preventable had the government agencies, officials and/or officers involved simply followed the laws, regulations and/or procedures. Instead of ensuring that these egregious acts of misconduct never occur again, government fought Randy and Carol from discovering the extent of the criminal conduct parties involved themselves with. The government employees, conspirators, co-conspirators instead acted to suppress evidence and created, maintained and defended a false narrative in order to protect the enterprise and conceal the corruption. The government has now permanently damaged lives and has unjustifiably imposed irreparable injury on Randy, Joel, Susan, Dale and Carol. The aggressive, abusive, ongoing misrepresentations by the Government and its attorneys in vivid support of the enterprise stand as a stark contrast before the nation as to what American expects.

Since 2005, the government has screwed up, fought to keep documents from us, and supported the enterprise to the overall detriment of Susan, Joel, Carol, Dale and Randy causing life long damage. On April 2, 2012 Carol wrote to the President Obama, Eric Holder, Robin Ashton, Melinda Haag and many others and stated:

“Ultimately, this is going to end badly... I expect that this may be intended by the government to end tragically rather than doing what’s right and enforcing federal regulations which is also linked to Western, ATS, and NWCCU.”

No new “complaint” will change what has happen or put back a state that Susan, Joel, Carol, Dale and Randy were once in. Joel, Carol, Dale and Randy made in good faith modest requests, using other cases judgments against the government as a guide.

- a. Joel’s whole life will be impacted. His figure (\$500,000) is double from that found in *Limone v. United States*, 497 F. Supp. 2d 143 (D. Mass. 2007) for children.
- b. Dale’s figure (\$1,050,000) comes directly from the figures for spouses in *Limone*.
- c. Carol’s figure (\$1,555,000) is the figures for spouses, plus the amount of money she paid out in legal fees that she never should have, if the Department had done its job in the first instance.
- d. Randy’s amount indicates and underscores the life long damage forced on him. Randy was 36 in 2002 and 39 in 2005. It has been 12 years since OCR failed involvement. Randy use the figure of 75 years old life expectancy for \$39,000,000 and \$1,000,000 for legal fees he was blocked from.
- e. In addition, the government has used its position to threaten and further injury Randy with the student loan. Claiming, supporting and defending the educational criteria and settlement agreement valid, when in fact it wasn’t and it knew it. Randy took out a \$40,000 loan. Ultimately, Randy became so beat up that Carol had to pay on his loan to try to keep it current. Finally, even that became too much. Since that time, the government has now taken Randy to a collection agency, which added even more fees and costs and destroyed Randy’s credit even further for 7 years – all the while the Department of Education was supporting and defending the enterprise over and against Randy.
- f. No new “complaint” will change any of this.

11. **James A. Scharf and 2nd amendment rights.** The government has used Scharf as a weapon against Randy and Carol. By 2012, the damages and bullying came to a head, which included a complaint against Scharf by Carol on April 2, 2012.²

At that same time a request for help to Hillary Clinton by Carol regarding Joel was ignored. So was the request for help regarding a Hague Convention.³

Instead, the London Embassy proceeded to talk about agreements for further meetings with Susan, which was NOT asked for.⁴ Instead of holding the line that Susan put Joel in contact with Randy,⁵ the government got in the way of a parent and his child, making the government the go between, while placating Joel’s abduction. Randy responded concerning the state department’s actions and lack of actions on April 28, 2012.⁶

By doing these things, the Obama Administration interfered with my constitutional rights as a parent.⁷

In the mean time, the Obama Administration did not stop with the overall abuse towards Carol and myself and the use of Scharf only intensified.

² http://www.joelchapel.com/docs/20120402_ComplaintAgainstScharf_CovertoClintonFINAL.pdf

³ http://www.joelchapel.com/docs/20120416_LastemailtoEmbassy.pdf

⁴ http://www.joelchapel.com/docs/20120418_AmendedlettertoChapel.pdf

⁵ http://www.joelchapel.com/docs/20120330_ChildMatterEmbassy.pdf

⁶ http://www.joelchapel.com/docs/20120428_Embassyletter.pdf

⁷ The U.S. Supreme Court recognizes parental rights as a fundamental human right protected under the U.S. Constitution. It has regularly stressed the magnitude of parental rights, such as the right to conceive and to raise one's children have been deemed "essential," *Meyer v. Nebraska*, 262 U.S. 390, 399 (1923), "basic civil rights of man," *Skinner v. Oklahoma*, 316 U.S. 535, 541 (1942), "rights far more precious...than property rights," *May v. Anderson*, 345 U.S. 528, 533 (1953), and that "[T]he liberty interest at issue...the interest of parents in the care, custody, and control of their children--is perhaps the oldest of the fundamental liberty interests recognized by this Court...[I]t cannot now be doubted that the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment protects the fundamental right of parents to make decisions concerning the care, custody, and control of their children." *Troxel v. Granville*, 530 US 2000, 99-138. It also includes a substantive component that "provides heightened protection against government interference with certain fundamental rights and liberty interests." *Washington v. Glucksberg*, 521 U.S. 702, 720; see also *Reno v. Flores*, 507 U.S. 292, 301-302.

In pulverizing a person, supporting fraud and abuse with public money, intentionally making misrepresentations to the court and public, *psychologically torturing* and *emotionally water boarding* Randy didn't make the governments' arguments any more *justified*, yet it continued under the Obama Administration, and Scharf was government's choice tormenter.

Damaged, with no hope, having lost everything, I was being pushed to kill myself by the government and the special kind of evil Scharf. I wrote on April 28, 2012:

It is not reasonable and completely foolish to believe that if I went about in a British court with the government ongoing condoning of Western's actions, that I will not only will be made a fool, but will further suffer as a result.

For one, hundreds of thousands of dollars have been spent fighting Western's positions that it can link education to covering up for the sexual misconduct of Steve Korch, that it can link education to violating state educational laws, other state laws, and federal laws (Section 504, FERPA,...), not to mention decisional cases and public policies.

Second, I have no money, Dale has gone through his entire savings and Carol has recently had to get money out of her life insurance policy – all to deal with the con-current FOIA lawsuits, and to pay bills. We live hand to mouth. I don't have the energy to work and cannot take the stress. I am totally dependent on my parents at this point.

Third, this government had and continues to condone Western's actions and cover for itself. This has been effectively used against me by Susan already and will continue to be.

My life has been completely screwed over. I have spent 1/4 of my life already dealing with Western and its corruptions. I have spent since 2005 dealing with the government's errors and omissions. I have lost everything and everyone close to me as a result. No dog and pony "welfare" check will fix any of this and neither will a British court, given that it is the American government that condones what Western is doing.

I am not and will not, live the rest of my life to suit the needs to cover for Western Seminary or for the government. The government's errors led to Western getting away with murder and the complete destruction of my life now. I refuse to accept a "less than" life, "less than" Liberty and "less than" the pursuit of Happiness for the rest of my life, so that Western can continue to tout itself as a moral place, only engaging in the most highest form of business practices only to abuse other students and families at will.


I have been pushed one time to far now. When you push a person long enough and hard enough, you can break them.

I am broken. I am shattered. I am upset and I am going to act out now as a result.

The government has declared war against my family and me and eviscerated our very unalienable Rights as Americans by its actions, which Belinda Smith used for Susan's divorce and taking away my son.

I refuse to be oppressed and abused any longer. I have reached my breaking point.

On May 16, 2012, after being beat up and with the Department of State screwing up our requests for help regarding the abduction of Joel, Randy stated:



Naturally, Scharf twisted this to mean Randy was going to kill him. Randy was then “investigated” by the FBI, but finally Randy was committed to the Santa Cruz Mental Health office ran by Dominican Hospital. Once again, Scharf pushed buttons with the Santa Cruz Police Department to write up that I was going to kill him.

I was to be released by the hospital, but was held over which forced the condition that I can never own a gun again. At the hearing, the Hospital staff tried to hide information that the FBI did not find any wrong and hide that there was no record of me threatening to kill Scharf. It took my mother contacting FBI agent, who left a note informing of the findings. However, because I was kept one day longer and not released, my rights were removed forever.

People at Dominican Hospital found out about being “railroaded” and did not like that. I was then provided my records, which showed no “ideation or threats on any clinical notes” towards Scharf, that I had threaten to kill Scharf.⁸ Instead, officer Dunn made it out on my hold to the delight of Scharf.

Scharf denies continued involvement in this case.

Because of what Scharf did and continued to do since Sept. 2010, **it is unlikely there is any peaceful resolution to this case, making filing a new complaint moot.** You can thank the government’s own attorney – “shithead” Scharf for insuring that without intervention by President Donald Trump in this case, there is only one way this is going to end now. Government started this; government continued and defended it. The morbid obsession of Scharf against Carol and me should give everyone pause. There is no end to the damage Scharf is willing to cause against Carol or me, even if it means stressing us to the point we take our own lives.

12. **Options.** Goals, future and education have been ruined to support criminal conduct. Randy’s personal life is scorched earth. Randy lost everything. Carol is still attempting to recover from an illness that if it had not been treated, would have cost her life. Dale suffers as a 100% disable vet with several health related problems that he had to live with, while Carol was keeping Randy alive. All other members of the enterprise have been protected over and against Susan, Joel, Carol, Dale and Randy. Damage is catastrophic and irreparable and at all times avoidable. Options:
 - a. **Move on.** ‘Move on’ as Scharf quips for the government. For Randy, (and others) to simply accept the corruption; damage by the government and others; and live out the rest of their broken lives having government forced this upon us by outright criminals and corrupt government employees. This has already been rejected and is considered an insult.
 - b. **Administratively settle.** Government already refused i, blocked ii, and will refuse iii because of Scharf.

⁸ This is found on my clinical notes dated June 11, 2012 at 10:52 by Lucia Cheng, MD.

- i. FTCA claims with Joel, Carol, Dale and Randy administratively;
 - ii. False Claim Act claims by Carol and Randy against Western Seminary, which used its “gatekeepers” to avoid accountability and to which as of the date of this letter the government historically supported and defended the fraud;
 - iii. Restore my 2nd amendment rights;
13. **Conclusion.** No “new” complaint will be filed. Claiming, “Well, he did not file a complaint so we did not do anything” is clearly misleading. The government didn’t do anything except to support the corruption and defend the enterprise. This continues as of the date of this letter.

No “new” complaint will change what has already been done nor is there any reason to trust anything to do with the government after what the government has done to us.

We are at the point that I will be required to take personal actions to seek justice against Western Seminary, et al. Government has 12 years of poor choices and outright abuses that detract from a peaceful ending and the Court understands this point.

Decency, security and liberty alike demand that government officials shall be subjected to the same rules of conduct that are commands to the citizen. In a government of laws, existence of the government will be imperilled if it fails to observe the law scrupulously. Our Government is the potent, the omnipresent teacher. For good or for ill, it teaches the whole people by its example. Crime is contagious. **If the Government becomes a lawbreaker, it breeds contempt for law; it invites every man to become a law unto himself; it invites anarchy.** [Emphasis] *Olmstead v. United States*, 277 U.S. 438, 48 S. Ct. 564, 72 L. Ed. 944 (1928):

As I have previously noted, I am not even sure President Donald Trump can unwind this. We did not want to be here. We’re being forced by corrupt government employees and religious whom the government is protecting to accept what we will not. With the exception of President Trump intervention, I believe this case is beyond a point of no return. Government made the wrong choice, tried covering it up, took it out on my family, parents and me and it is time government accept the outcomes of its corruption.

We have no time to play games and push things out any longer. No student and family should ever be emotionally shattered, beat up and put through this. This tortuous period of our lives needs to stop.

It is long past due that government find some other Americans to screw over.

Randy Chapel

20171219_ProgramLegalGroup_OCR.doc