

From: R C_Legal randychapellegal@gmail.com
Subject: Re: Your comment re: U.S. Dept. of Education's Proposed Title IX Rule
Date: April 5, 2019 at 2:11 PM
To: titleixnprm titleixnprm@ed.gov, Betsy DeVos betsy.devos@ed.gov, OCR-PLG@ed.gov, carlos.muniz@ed.gov

RC

Ms. Bull, Ms. DeVos, Mr. Muñiz, et al.

You clearly are in error and are referring to someone else.

The CD-ROM did not contained 54 items or even 48 items. 33 items were sent.

20190114_StatementOnRules.pdf is the main document along with 32 exhibits, which included two audio tracks in .m4a and .mp3 format. The rest were .pdfs.

I am aware that the CD-ROM worked for both PCs and Macs and tested it as such. You have failed to identify which purported files you claim opened and which did not, thus "quality" checking your claims cannot occur.

I am also aware that the contents were passed around once received, including being sent to James Scharf, in which those documents were then sent on to others. I am also aware of the conversations with Western and attorneys that followed.

sending it as a ".zip" file is some 147.1 MBs and email will not handle it. You cannot "print" audio tracks. You would have known this based on the claims and any basic "technology team" member would have also been able to "read" the file headers.

I sent a follow up message on March 5, 2019 to Betsy DeVos, Carlos Muñiz, Kenneth L. Marcus, Candice Jackson, OCR Legal. I am also aware that message was received and understood by the Department parties.

Moreover, I am also aware that the government has crawled educationalfraud.com, which after I sent the followup message I posted the entire statement with all 33 exhibits to be downloaded by anyone, including the government parties now making questionable claims. I am aware these URLs have been sent to others.

Only one set of documents were sent out in January and those documents were monitored and traced.

Since all evidence points to the fact that the documents were in fact received, accessed, understood and distributed within the Departments of Education and Justice, I intend to point out in the future that your email is nothing more than another example of spurious claims for a secondary purpose and not one claimed.

Finally, there is no "clock time" on these documents to respond back to me. All parties clearly understand by now, this case goes well beyond the admissions scandal of 2019 and I have deadlines to meet to ensure the public knows the hell my Susan, our son, my parents and I have been needlessly put through.

Randy Chapel

On Apr 5, 2019, at 11:20 AM, titleixnprm <titleixnprm@ed.gov> wrote:

Mr. Chapel,

We received your comment in response to the U.S. Department of Education's proposed rule regarding Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Sex in Education Programs or Activities Receiving Federal Financial Assistance. Unfortunately, we cannot open 48 of the 54 documents included on the CD-ROM. We can open the 6 PDF files, but the other 48 appear to be alias files that our technology team has been unable to open. If you would like to resubmit those 48 documents for consideration, please either (1) respond to this email with all of the files in a zip file; (2) mail us another CD-ROM with the identical files previously submitted, but in a PDF or Word format that we can open; or (3) mail hard copies of those 48 files to Brittany Bull, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Ave. SW Room 6E310, Washington, D.C. 20202. Please only resubmit those exact 48 documents that you originally submitted: the Department will not consider

additional documents. Would you be able to re-submit the 48 documents to us within a week? If not, please let us know what timetable would be more feasible and how you would like to proceed.

Best,

Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Department of Education
400 Maryland Avenue SW
Washington, D.C. 20202